arXiv:1403.5983v1 [math.KT] 24 Mar 2014

HOCHSCHILD AND CYCLIC HOMOLOGY OF THE CROSSED PRODUCT OF ALGEBRAIC IRRATIONAL ROTATIONAL ALGEBRA BY FINITE SUBGRAOUPS OF $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

SAFDAR QUDDUS

Abstract. Let $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a finite subgroup acting on the irrational rotational algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ via the restriction of the canonical action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$ obtained by the restriction of the Γ action on the algberaic irrational rotational algebra. In this paper we prove many results on the homology group of the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$. We also analyse the case of the smooth crossed product algebra, $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \Gamma$ and calculate some of its homology groups.

1. Introduction and Statement

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ be the rotation algebra, which is the universal C*-algebra generated by unitaries U_1 and U_2 satisfying $U_2U_1 = \lambda U_1U_2$, where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$. The structures of non-commutative torus has been revealed in a classical paper of Connes [C], the Hochschild and cyclic cohomology are calculated therein. Paper also calculates the Chern-Connes pairing for the smooth non-commutative torus. [ELPH] consider the action of finite subgroups, $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on the noncommutative torus algebra and they calculated the K_0 group of the corresponding crossed product algebra, $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \Gamma)$. One can consider the subalgebra consisting of finitely supported elements, $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. The Hochschild homology of the crossed product algbera, $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ was calculated in [O] by Oblomkov and in [B], Baudry described the groups $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma)$ for all finite subgroups Γ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

In the paper [BRT], authors studied the Picard group of the algebraic non-commutative torus algebra, $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. In this paper we extend the results of [O] and [B] to give a complete description of the homology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$ for all finite subgroups Γ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. We also calculate the periodic cyclic homology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$. We use a completely new method to prove our results than in [O] or [B]. We also state in this paper some homology groups of the orbifold $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \Gamma$. We end this article with a conjecture on the cyclic homology groups of $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \Gamma$.

2. $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ action on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$

The C*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \Gamma, \rho)$ can be obtained for a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in the following way. An element

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1,1} & g_{1,2} \\ g_{2,1} & g_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58B34; 19D55

Date: March 25, 2014.

Key words and phrases. homology, non-commutative torus, crossed product algebra.

acts on the generators U_1 and U_2 as described below

$$\rho_g U_1 = e^{(\pi i g_{1,1} g_{2,1})\theta} U_1^{g_{1,1}} U_2^{g_{2,1}} \text{ and } \rho_g U_2 = e^{(\pi i g_{1,2} g_{2,2})\theta} U_1^{g_{1,2}} U_2^{g_{2,2}}$$

.

We leave it to the readers to check that the restriction of the formula described above to the finite subgroups, $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4,$ and $\mathbb{Z}_6$ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is indeed an automorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. We illustrate this action by considering the action of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ on the noncommutative torus algebra, $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. We notice that the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, $g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U_1 = U_2^{-1} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U_2 = U_1.$$

While $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \Gamma$ is defined to the crossed product algebra associated to the C*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \Gamma, \rho)$; $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$ is defined to the associated crossed product algebra for the system $(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \Gamma, \rho)$ *without* its completion.

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

THEOREM 2.1. *If $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the Hochschild homology groups are as follows:*

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^5 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \mathbb{C}^7 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ \mathbb{C}^8 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ \mathbb{C}^9 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_6. \end{cases}$$

$HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong 0$ *for all finite subgroups* $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

$HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}$ *for all finite subgroups* $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

$HH_k(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong 0$ *for all* $k > 3$ *and all finite subgroups* $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

We remark that the result of Theorem 1.1 for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is presented in [O] and the group $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma)$ is calculated in [B]. Theorem 1.1 completes the computation of all Hochschild homology groups for $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$ for all $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The periodic cyclic homology groups of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$ are as follows:

THEOREM 2.2. *If $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong$* $\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^6 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \mathbb{C}^8 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ \mathbb{C}^9 & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ \mathbb{C}^{10} & \text{for } \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_6. \end{cases}$

The odd homology $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong 0$ for all finite subgroups $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

THEOREM 2.3. *For $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}, HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}$.*

We also examine the Γ invariant cycles in $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$ for $\bullet = 0, 1$.

THEOREM 2.4. *For $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying Diophantine condition, we have*

$$HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta)^\Gamma = 0, \text{ and } H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta)^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

3. Strategy of the proof

We prove the above theorems by decomposing each homology group into several simpler group associated to each group element $g \in \Gamma$. Thereafter we develop a resolution for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ and calculate the homologies. We shall do so by using an innovative technique of diagram representation for the chains and cycles. We illustrate this by giving some examples here.

Conside the equation $a^1_{6,1} - a^2_{5,0} = \lambda a^1_{4,1} - \lambda^{-5} a^2_{5,2}$.
The elements $a^1_{6,1}, a^1_{4,1}, a^2_{5,0}$ and $a^2_{5,2}$ can be considered as point in the lattice plane $\mathbb{Z}^2$. We respresent this equation as a diagram on the latttice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in the following way.



In the proofs we shall use this method to find the homology groups of the product algebars $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$.

4. Paracyclic modules and spectral decomposition

One can use the results of [EJ] to deduce the following decomposition of the homology group of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$.

THEOREM 4.1 (EJ)**.** *If Γ is finite and $|\Gamma|$ is invertible in k, then there is a natural isomorphism of cyclic homology and*

$$HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) = HH_\bullet(H_0(\Gamma, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\sharp_\Gamma),$$

where $(H_0(\Gamma, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\sharp_\Gamma)$ is the cyclic module

$$H_0(\Gamma, (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\sharp_\Gamma)(n) = H_0(\Gamma, k[\Gamma] \otimes (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\otimes n+1}).$$

Since Γ is abelian, we can conclude that the group homology $H_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}^{alg\natural}_{\theta,\Gamma})$ splits the complex into $|\Gamma|$ disjoint parts.

$$H_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}^{alg\natural}_{\theta,\Gamma})(n) = H_0(\Gamma, k[\Gamma] \otimes (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\otimes n+1}) = \bigoplus_{t\in\Gamma}((\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,t})^{\otimes n+1})^\Gamma$$

For each $t \in \Gamma$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,t}$ is setwise $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$ with the Hochschild differential ${}_tb$ in the Hochschild complex $C_\bullet(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,t}, \mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,t})$. Hence, we can write its Hochschild homology, $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma)$ as follows

$$HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma) = HH_\bullet(H_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}^{alg\natural}_{\theta,\Gamma})) = \bigoplus_{t\in\Gamma} HH_\bullet((\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,t})^\Gamma).$$

It is enough to calculate $HH_\bullet((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,t}^{alg\bullet})^\Gamma)$ for each $t \in \Gamma$. To calculate these individual homology groups, we can use the following lemma. Proof of which is an easy exercise.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let*

$$J_* := 0 \xleftarrow{d_v} A \xleftarrow{d_v} (A^{\otimes 2}) \xleftarrow{d_v} (A^{\otimes 3}) \xleftarrow{d_v} (A^{\otimes 4}) \xleftarrow{d_v} (A^{\otimes 5}) \xleftarrow{d_v} ...$$

be a chain complex. For a given Γ action on A, consider the following chain complex, with chain map $d_v^\Gamma : (A^{\otimes n})^\Gamma \to (A^{\otimes n-1})^\Gamma$ induced from the map $d_v : A^{\otimes n} \to A^{\otimes n-1}$.

$$J_*^\Gamma := 0 \xleftarrow{d_v^\Gamma} A^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d_v} (A^{\otimes 2})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d_v^\Gamma} (A^{\otimes 3})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d_v^\Gamma} (A^{\otimes 4})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d_v^\Gamma} (A^{\otimes 5})^\Gamma \xleftarrow{d_v^\Gamma} ...$$

With the Γ action commuting with the differential d_v. We have the following group equality $H_q(J_*^\Gamma, d_v^\Gamma) = H_q(J_*, d_v)^\Gamma$.

5. Revisiting Connes' resolution

Firstly we have to ensure if the projective resolution introduced in [C] can be adjusted to the algebraic noncommutative torus algebra:

LEMMA 5.1. *The following is a projective resolution of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$*

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \xleftarrow{\epsilon} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg} \xleftarrow{b_1} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg} \bigoplus \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg} \xleftarrow{b_2} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}$$

where, $\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg} = \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \otimes (\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{op}$
$\epsilon(a \otimes b) = ab$,
$b_1(1 \otimes e_j) = 1 \otimes U_j - U_j \otimes 1$ *and*
$b_2(1 \otimes (e_1 \wedge e_2)) = (U_2 \otimes 1 - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - (\lambda U_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2$.

Proof. Since $\epsilon b_1(1 \otimes e_j) = U_j - U_j = 0$, hence we have $im(b_1) \subset ker(\epsilon)$. Let an element $x \in ker(\epsilon)$ be

$$x = \sum a_{\nu,\nu'} X^\nu Y^{\nu'}, \text{ where } X^\nu = U_1^{n_1} U_2^{n_2} \otimes 1, Y^{\nu'} = 1 \otimes U_1^{n_1{}'} U_2^{n_2{}'}.$$

Hence, $x = \sum a_{\nu,\nu'} X^\nu (Y^{\nu'} - X^{\nu'})$. Consider the following equality

$$(1 \otimes U_2{}^{n_2})(1 \otimes U_1{}^{n_1}) - (U_1{}^{n_1} \otimes 1)(U_2{}^{n_2} \otimes 1) = (1 \otimes U_2{}^{n_2})(\sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} U_1^j \otimes U_1^{n_1-1-j})(1 \otimes U_1 - U_1 \otimes 1)$$
$$+ (U_1{}^{n_1} \otimes 1)(\sum_{j=0}^{n_2-1} U_2^j \otimes U_2^{n_2-1-j})(1 \otimes U_2 - U_2 \otimes 1).$$

Since $x = \sum a_{\nu,\nu'} X^\nu (Y^{\nu'} - X^{\nu'})$ can be written in terms of the image of the right hand side coefficients in above equation. Hence $ker(\epsilon)$ is generated by $(1 \otimes U_1 - U_1 \otimes 1)$ and $(1 \otimes U_2 - U_2 \otimes 1)$.

Given an element $x = x_1 \otimes e_1 - x_2 \otimes e_2$ where, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \in ker(b_1)$, we have,

$$x_1(1 \otimes U_1 - U_1 \otimes 1) = x_2(1 \otimes U_2 - U_2 \otimes 1).$$

To prove $x \in Imb_2$, it is enough to find $y \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \otimes^{op} \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ such that $x_1 = y(U_2 \otimes 1 - \lambda \otimes U_2)$. Let $Z = (\lambda U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2)$ then we have the following

$$x_1(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z^k) = 0.$$

Also one can calculate that

$$x_1(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z^k)(1 \otimes U_1 - U_1 \otimes 1) = x_1(1 \otimes U_1 - U_1 \otimes 1) \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2)^k =$$
$$x_2(1 \otimes U_2 - U_2 \otimes 1) \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2)^k = 0.$$

After writing $x_1 = \sum a_k Z^k$, where (a_k) is a finitely supported over the elements of the closed subalgebra generated by $U_1 \otimes 1, U_2 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes U_1$. We have

$$x_1 = \sum a_k (Z^k - 1) = \sum a_k (\sum_{0}^{k-1} Z^k)(Z - 1).$$

It can be observed that for $y := \sum b_k Z^k$ with $b_k = \sum_{j-1=k}^{\infty} a_j$ satisfies, $x_1 = y(U_2 \otimes 1 - \lambda \otimes U_2)$.

Hence $y \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. □

6. Γ invariant Hochschild homology group, $HH_\bullet((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,1}^{alg})^\Gamma)$

In this section we compute the Hochschild homology for the $g = 1$ part appearing as one of the decomposed cases while we compute the Hochschild homology for the crossed product algebras $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \Gamma$. We calculate these groups for each of the four cases, corresponding to the groups $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$.

We notice that from Lemma 3.2 we can simplify the group as follows

$$HH_\bullet((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,1}^{alg})^\Gamma) = (HH_\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,1}^{alg}))^\Gamma$$

BY the Kozul complex in Lemma 4.1, the Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ is computed by the following complex

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \xleftarrow{1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} b_1} \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \xleftarrow{1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} b_2} \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$$

We have

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = ker(1 \otimes b_2),$$

where,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (U_2 \otimes I - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (\lambda U_1 \otimes I - I \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2 =$$
$$(aU_2 - \lambda U_2 a, U_1 a - \lambda a U_1)\ .$$

It is clear that $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \langle \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | \varphi_{n,m-1} = \lambda^{n+1}\varphi_{n,m-1} = \lambda^m \varphi_{n,m-1} \rangle$. To solve the above condition on a cycle in $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$, we observe that we need to have $m = 0$ for the second equality to hold and also we need that $n = -1$ for the first equality. Hence we see that $\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}$, the elements of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ supported at $U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}$ is the required generator for $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$.
To calculate the Γ invariant algebra we need to push this cycle $\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}$ into the bar complex C_* using the map $h_2 : J_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to C_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$, where

$$h_2(1 \otimes (e_1 \wedge e_2)) = I \otimes U_2 \otimes U_1 - \lambda \otimes U_1 \otimes U_2.$$

After considering the action of $t \in \Gamma$ on the element $(1 \otimes h_2)(\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$. Then we pull back the element $t \cdot ((1 \otimes h_2)(\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}))$ to the Kozul complex to check its invariance. Using this technique we have the following computations.

6.1. $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\Gamma$**.** To calculate $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\Gamma$ we need to push the 2-cocycles into the bar complex and thereafter pull it back after the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on the class. Consider the map, $(1 \otimes b_2)$, we see that
$(1 \otimes h_2)(\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}) = \varphi_{-1,-1}(1 \otimes h_2)(U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$
$= a_{-1,-1}(U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2 \otimes U_1 - \lambda U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1 \otimes U_2)$
After $t \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on the element $a_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2 \otimes U_1 - \lambda U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1 \otimes U_2$ we get

$$a_{-1,-1}(U_1U_2 \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1} - \lambda U_1U_2 \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1})$$

Now, we consider the element $(1 \otimes k_2)(a_{-1,-1}(U_1U_2 \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1} - \lambda U_1U_2 \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}))$, where for $\nu = (n_1, n_2)$ and $\mu = (m_1, m_2)$ the chain map $k_2 : C_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to J_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ is given by the following formula

$$k_2(I \otimes U^\nu \otimes U^\mu) = (U_1 \otimes I)^{n_1} \frac{\lambda^{n_2 m_1}(U_1 \otimes I)^{m_1} - \lambda^{m_1 m_2}(I \otimes U_1)^{m_1}}{\lambda^{n_2}(U_1 \otimes I) - \lambda^{-m_2}(I \otimes U_1)}$$
$$\frac{(U_2 \otimes I)^{n_2} - \lambda^{n_2}(I \otimes U_2)^{n_2}}{(U_2 \otimes I) - \lambda(I \otimes U_2)}(I \otimes U_2)^{m_2} \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2.$$

After $t \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $(1 \otimes h_2)(\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$, we consider the pull back of $-1 \cdot (1 \otimes h_2)(\varphi_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1})$ on the Kozul complex.

$$(1 \otimes k_2)a_{-1,-1}(U_1U_2 \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1} - \lambda U_2U_1 \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1})$$
$$= a_{-1,-1}U_1U_2 \frac{\lambda(U_1 \otimes 1)^{-1} - (I \otimes U_1)^{-1}}{\lambda^{-1}(U_1 \otimes I) - (I \otimes U_1)} \frac{(U_2 \otimes I)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}(I \otimes U_2)^{-1}}{(U_2 \otimes I) - \lambda(I \otimes U_2)} - 0.$$

To simply the relations above we notice that

$$\frac{\lambda(U_1 \otimes 1)^{-1} - (I \otimes U_1)^{-1}}{\lambda^{-1}(U_1 \otimes I) - (I \otimes U_1)} = -\lambda(U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{(U_2 \otimes I)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}(I \otimes U_2)^{-1}}{(U_2 \otimes I) - \lambda(I \otimes U_2)} = -\lambda^{-1}(U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}).$$

Hence we have
$(1 \otimes k_2)a_{-1,-1}(U_1U_2 \otimes U_2^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1} - \lambda U_2U_1 \otimes U_1^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1})$
$= a_{-1,-1}U_1U_2 \cdot -\lambda(U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}) \cdot -\lambda^{-1}(U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1})$
$= a_{-1,-1}U_2U_1^{-1} \cdot (U_2^{-1} \otimes U_2^{-1}) = a_{-1,-1}U_2^{-1}U_2U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1} = a_{-1,-1}U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}$.

Hence we have, $(H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Similarly, we can compute the groups $(H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^\Gamma$ for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ and find them to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

6.2. $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\Gamma$**.** We compute this group in a similar way to our calculation of the group $(H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^\Gamma$. We notice that we have the group $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ equals

$$ker(1 \otimes b_1)/im(1 \otimes b_2).$$

$(1 \otimes b_1)(a \otimes I \otimes e_j) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (I \otimes U_j - U_j \otimes I) = U_j a - a U_j$, Hence,
$ker(1 \otimes b_1) = \langle (\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \oplus \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | U_1\varphi^1 - \varphi^1 U_1 = \varphi^2 U_2 - U_2\varphi^2 \rangle$.
While we know that

$$im(1 \otimes b_2) = \langle (\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \oplus \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}; \varphi^1 = \varphi U_2 - \lambda U_2 \varphi \text{ and } \varphi^2 = U_1\varphi - \lambda \varphi U_1 \rangle$$

Hence we see that $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, and is generated by the equivalence classes of $\varphi^{1^-}_{-1,0}$ and $\varphi^{2^-}_{0,-1}$.

We need to check the invariance of $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ under each of the finite subgroups to get the desired group $(H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^\Gamma$.

THEOREM 6.1. $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\Gamma = 0$.

Proof. For $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$, to check the invariance we need to push the cycle to the bar complex, $C_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ and then consider the natural action that exists on the bar complex.
Using the map $h_1 : J_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to C_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$,

$$h_1(I \otimes e_i) = I \otimes U_j$$

we obtain $(1 \otimes h_1)(\varphi^1_{-1,0} U_1^{-1}, 0) = \varphi^1_{-1,0} U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1$, thereafter we consider the action of $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence we obtain the element

$$\varphi^1_{-1,0} U_1 \otimes U_1^{-1}.$$

We now pull this transformed element on back to the Kozul complex for its comparison with the original cycle using the map

$$k_1(I \otimes U^\nu) = A_\nu \otimes e_1 + B_\nu \otimes e_2, \text{ where } \nu = (n_1, n_2).$$

Coefficient $A_\nu = (I \otimes U_2)^{n_2}((U_1 \otimes I)^{n_1} - (I \otimes U_1)^{n_1})((U_1 \otimes I) - (I \otimes U_1))^{-1}$ while the coefficient
$B_\nu = (I \otimes U_1)^{n_1}((U_2 \otimes I)^{n_2} - (I \otimes U_2)^{n_2})((U_2 \otimes I) - (I \otimes U_2))^{-1}$. These maps induce quasi-isomorphism, whence we have the push forwards and pull backs of cycles as cycles in their corresponding complexes.

Proceeding further with a general cycle $\varphi \in H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$, we can express it in terms of generators $\varphi^{1^-}_{-1,0}$ and $\varphi^{2^-}_{0,-1}$ as follows,

$$\varphi = a U_1^{-1} \otimes e_1 + b U_2^{-1} \otimes e_2.$$

$$(1 \otimes k_1)(-1 \cdot (1 \otimes h_1)(\varphi)) = (1 \otimes k_1)(-1 \cdot (a U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1 + b U_2 \otimes U_2^{-1})) =$$
$$(1 \otimes k_1)(a U_1 \otimes U_1^{-1} + b U_2 \otimes U_2^{-1}) = a U_1 A \otimes e_1 + a U_1 B \otimes e_2 + b U_2 A' \otimes e_1 + b U_2 B' \otimes e_2 =$$
$$(a U_1 A + b U_2 A') \otimes e_1 + (a U_1 B + b U_2 B') \otimes e_2.$$

Let us calculate $U_1 A \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. We know from the formula described above that

$$A = \frac{(U_1 \otimes I)^{-1} - (I \otimes U_1)^{-1}}{(U_1 \otimes I) - (I \otimes U_1)}.$$

We can simplify the formula for A,

$$A = \frac{(U_1 \otimes I)^{-1} - (I \otimes U_1)^{-1}}{(U_1 \otimes I) - (I \otimes U_1)} = -U_1^{-1} \otimes U_1^{-1}.$$

Hence using $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ as a $\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}$ module, we have $U_1 A = -U_1^{-1}$. Similarly we compute that $U_2 B' = -U_2^{-1}$. As for A', B; we see that from the formula above that $A' = B = 0$, hence we have

$$(1 \otimes k_1)(-1 \cdot (1 \otimes h_1)(aU_1^{-1} \otimes e_1 + bU_2^{-1} \otimes e_2)) = -(aU_1^{-1} \otimes e_1 + bU_2^{-1} \otimes e_2).$$

Whence,

$$(H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0.$$

Through similar computation for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$, we get that,

$$(H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\Gamma} = 0.$$

□

6.3. $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^\Gamma$**.** For the zeroth homology we have a simple calculation, through the fact that $k_0 = h_0 = id$. Hence the natural action on the bar complex translates into the Kozul complex without any changes to it.

We know that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} / \langle im(1 \otimes b_1) \rangle$$

$$im(1 \otimes b_1) = \langle \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | \varphi = U_1 \varphi^1 - \varphi^1 U_1 + U_2 \varphi^2 - \varphi^2 U_2 \rangle$$

Since $U_1 U_2 \in im(1 \otimes b_1)$ we have the group $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \langle \varphi_{\bar{0},0} \rangle$. It is clearly invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action. Hence we have the following result

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

In general we have that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$.

7. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$

7.1. **Hochschild homology.**

THEOREM 7.1. *$HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$, while $HH_k((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ is a trivial group for all $k \geq 1$*

LEMMA 7.2. *Consider the following chain complex $J_{*,-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$*

$$J_{*,-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} := 0 \xleftarrow{-1b} (\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xleftarrow{-1b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})^{\otimes 2})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xleftarrow{-1b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}...$$

where,

$$_{-1}b(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) = b'(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) + (-1)^n((-1 \cdot a_n)a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_{n-1}.)$$

Then,

$$H_\bullet(J_{*,-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, {}_{-1}b) = (H_\bullet(J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})), b)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

Proof. By considering $_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ as a twisted $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ module, we can integrate the twisted part $((-1 \cdot a_n)a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_{n-1})$ in the module structure of $_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. With this we have the following equality

$$H_\bullet(J_{*,-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, {}_{-1}b) = H_\bullet((J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b).$$

The map $_{-1}b$ has been modified into the regular Hochschild map, b of a Hochschild complex $C(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$, with twisted bimodule structure of $_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta$ that is as below

$$a\alpha = (-1 \cdot a)\alpha \text{ and } \alpha a = \alpha a; a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta, \alpha \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 we can simplify $H_\bullet((J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b)$.

$$H_\bullet((J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b) = (H_\bullet(J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}), b))^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

□

Hence using the adjusted Connes' complex for the algebraic case we can now calculate the homology groups.

- $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}/Image(1 \otimes b_1)$,
- $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_1)/Image(1 \otimes b_2)$,
- $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

LEMMA 7.3. *$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.*

Proof. We consider the map $(1 \otimes b_2)$ in the tensor complex. To calculate the kernel of this map we have a closer look at the following map,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (U_2 \otimes I - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (\lambda U_1 \otimes I - I \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2.$$

Using the twisted bimodule structure of $_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, the equation can be simplified to the following.

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = (aU - \lambda U_2^{-1}a, U_1^{-1}a - \lambda a U_1).$$

Hence we obtain the following relation over an element $(a \otimes 1)$ to reside in $ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \left\{ a \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | a_{n,m} = \lambda^{m-1} a_{n-2,m}; a_{n-1,m} = \lambda^n a_{n-1,m-2} \right\}.$$

Since, no such nontrivial element exists in ${}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ because if it did then all $a_{m,n}$ have to be same up to multiple of λ but since the algebra consists of finitely supported elements so they are reduced to zero. Therefore, we have the desired result,

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = 0.$$

□

LEMMA 7.4. $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$.

Proof. We have the map $(1 \otimes b_1)$ in the tensor complex defined below.

$$(1 \otimes b_1)(a \otimes I \otimes e_j) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (I \otimes U_j - U_j \otimes I) = U_j^{-1}a - aU_j.$$

As before if we use the twisted bicomplex structure of ${}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, the map$(1 \otimes b_1)$ can be simplified as follows,

$$b_1(a_1, 0) = a_1U_1 - U_1^{-1}a_1 \text{ and } b_1(0, a_2) = a_2U_2 - U_2^{-1}a_2.$$

Observe that $b_1(a_1, a_2) = b_1(a_1, 0) + b_1(0, a_2)$. Further we can simplify the calculation by considering only elements of the type $b_1(U_1^n U_2^m, 0)$, and similarly for $b_1(0, a_2)$ we can consider the elements of the type $b_1(0, U_1^n U_2^m)$. We observe that

$$b_1(U_1^n U_2^m, 0) = U_1^{-1}({U_1}^n {U_2}^m) - ({U_1}^n {U_2}^m)U_1 = U_1^{n-1}U_2^m(1 - \lambda^{-m}U_1^2),$$

and

$$b_1(0, U_1^n U_2^{m-1}) = U_2^{-1}({U_1}^n {U_2}^{m-1}) - ({U_1}^n {U_2}^m) = \lambda^{-1}U_1^n U_2^{m-2} - U_1^n U_2^m = (\lambda^{-n} - U_2^2)U_1^n U_2^{m-2}.$$

Let us consider the case $m = n = 1$. In this case we can see that the elements U_j and U_j^{-1} are linearly related in $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$.

$$b_1(U_1U_2, 0) = U_2(1 - \lambda^{-1}U_1^2) \text{ and } b_1(0, U_1U_2) = (\lambda^{-1} - U_2^2)U_1.$$

Hence we can conclude that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} / \langle (U_1^{n-1}U_2^m(1 - \lambda^{-m}U_1^2), (\lambda^{-n} - U_2^2)U_1^n U_2^{m-2} \rangle.$$

Now to obtain $(H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ we consider complex map $h : J_* \to C_*$, since the map $h_0 : J_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to C_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ is the identity map, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on the bar complex is translated to the Kozul complex with no alteration. Hence we get that

$$HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) = \langle a_{\bar{0},0}^{-}, a_{\bar{1},0}^{-}, a_{\bar{0},1}^{-}, a_{\bar{1},1}^{-} \rangle$$

.

□

LEMMA 7.5. $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.

Proof. From the previous calculations we do have an explicit formula for the kernel and the image equations of $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$.

$$a_{n,m-1}^1 - a_{n-1,m-2}^2 = \lambda^{m-1} a_{n-2,m-1}^1 - \lambda^{1-n} a_{n-1,m}^2 \text{ (Kernel condition)}$$

$$a_{n,m-1}^1 = a_{n.m-2} - \lambda^{1-n} a_{n,m}; a_{n-1,m-1}^2 = a_{n,m-1} - \lambda^m a_{n-2,m-1} \text{(Image of } a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$$

We introduce a combinatorial method of plotting these equations on the $\mathbb{Z}^2$ plane to study their solutions.

For two elements a, b in the lattice plane $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are said to be *kernel-connected* and drawn like

if there exists a kernel equation containing a and b.

For example consider the following kernel equation.

$$a_{6,1}^1 - a_{5,0}^2 = \lambda a_{4,1}^1 - \lambda^{-5} a_{5,2}^2.$$

Then, we have the diagram below as the corresponding *kernel-diagram*, with the boxes representing elements of $a_{\bullet,\bullet}^1$ and the filled circles are elements of $a_{\bullet,\bullet}^2$.



For a given kernel solution S, we can draw all kernel diagrams for kernel equations containing its non-zero elements. This way we will have $Dgm(S)$ as a lattice diagram in which the non-zero lattice points of S are connected to one another by line segments on account of their relations to other points through kernel equations.

We say that two non-zero points are *primarily kernel connected* if there exists a kernel equation containing both of them. A kernel diagram $Dgm(\varphi)$ is *connected* if given any two points $f, g \in Dgm(\varphi)$, there exists points $(h_i)_{i=0}^n \in Dgm(\varphi)$ such that any two adjacent points in the sequence $f = h_0, h_1, ..., h_n = g$ are primarily connected.

We notice that for a given kernel element $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)$, at the point (n, m) we have the element $(\varphi_{n,m}^1, \varphi_{n,m}^2)$. Hence we see that in a connected component we have three possibility at a point (n, m). These are

(1) $\varphi^1_{n,m}$
(2) $\varphi^2_{n,m}$
(3) 0.

Hence we conclude that the kernel diagram of φ, $Dgm(\varphi)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2$. If we show that each of these connected component is a boundary then we are done proving our lemma. Hence, we shall concentrate on any connected component say, $\pi_i(Dgm(\varphi))$ and call it the diagram of the kernel element. This assumption causes no loss in the generality of the cases we need to consider.

LEMMA 7.6. *All kernel solutions are disjoint unions of closed graphs with no open edges as drawn below.*

Proof. If such point b was to exist in any of the kernel diagrams, which is *kernel-connected* to a non-zero lattice point $b = w_{r,s}$ in the manner pictured above, then consider the kernel equation that contains this non-zero point $w_{r,s}$ and the other three zero points located at $(r+2, s), (r+1, s+1)$ and $(r+1, s-1)$. We derive a contradiction on the kernel condition. □

Now, the proof will proceed with induction over the *number* of non-zero elements in a given kernel solution.

After going through a simple yet tedious process, one figures out that there are no kernel solution with the number of non-zero entries less than or equal to 3. This can be seen as follows:

Consider three non-zero points $a^2_{n_1,m_1}, a^2_{n_2,m_2}, a^1_{n_3,m_3}$ constituting a kernel solution. It is easy to check that $m_3 \neq m_1$. Let $m_3 < m_1$, then consider the following equation at $(n, m) = (n_1 + 1, m_1 + 2)$,

$$a^1_{n,m-1} - a^2_{n-1,m-2} = \lambda^{m-1} a^1_{n-2,m-1} - \lambda^{1-n} a^2_{n-1,m}.$$

We derive that for $a^2_{n_1,m_1} \neq 0$, it is imperative that $n_2 = n_1$ and $m_2 = m_1 + 2$. Again consider the above equation at $(n, m) = (n_1 + 1, m_1 + 4)$. We find that $a^2_{n_2,m_2} = 0$. This is a contradiction.

For simplicity assume that A_4, a solution containing 4 non-zero points be centered at $(0, 0)$. Now we study the four equations which contain these points. Hence we obtain the following relation over its non-zero points,

$$b = -\lambda a = -\lambda c = d.$$

The above relations mean that now A_4 can be written in terms of $Image(b_2)$ and the following diagram illustrates this.

$b_2(a') \backsimeq A_4 =$ 

,

where $a'_{0,0} = a$ and $a'_{r,s} = 0$ for $(r,s) \neq (0,0)$.

Assume that all kernel solutions having the number of non-zero elements less than or equal to $(x-1)$ come from image. Then consider a kernel solution S_0 with x non-zero elements in it. Since this solution is finitely supported over the lattice plane, there exists a closed square region β over which S_0 is supported.

Inside β consider the left most column at least one point of which is non-zero. Choose the bottom point μ of this column. It is clear that $\mu = a^2_{r,s}$ for some $(r,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. As if it were $a^1_{r,s}$ then consider the following kernel equation,

$$a^1_{r,s} - a^2_{r-1,s-1} = \lambda^s a^1_{r-2,s} - \lambda^{1-r} a^2_{r-1,s+1} \ .$$

All but $a^1_{r,s}$ are zero. This is a contradiction.

We shall now construct a new solution S_1 from S_0, with the number of non-zero elements in S_1 at most equal to x. Consider the following map $\wedge$

$$\wedge : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2, \text{ such that}$$

$$(a^1_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge = 0, (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0, (a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge = a^1_{r+1,s+1} - a^2_{r,s},$$
$$(a^2_{r+2,s})^\wedge = a^2_{r+2,s} + \lambda^{s+1} a^2_{r,s} \text{ and } (a^j_{p,q})^\wedge = a^j_{p,q} \text{ for all other lattice points.}$$

LEMMA 7.7. *Let $S_1 := \wedge(S_0)$. Then S_1 is a kernel solution such that $|S_1| \leq |S_0|$. If for some $u \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$, $b_2(u) = S_1$ then $b_2(u') = S_0$, where $u' = u + {}_{r,s}g$,*

$${}_{r,s}g_{p,q} = \begin{cases} a^2_{r,s} & \text{if } (p,q) = (r+1,s) \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Second part is clear that the cardinality of non-zero entries is reduced by 2 when it is imposed that $(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge = (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0$ but then the cardinality may increase by two in case both $a^1_{r+1,s}$ and $a^2_{r+1,s}$ are zero in S_0.

To check that S_1 is a solution it is enough to check that all the kernel equations containing any of these four altered elements hold. In other words we want to check that the following equation

$$(a^1_{n,m})^\wedge - (a^2_{n-1,m-1})^\wedge - \lambda^m (a^1_{n-2,m})^\wedge + \lambda^{1-n} (a^2_{n-1,m+1})^\wedge = 0$$

holds for $(n,m) = (r+3,s+1), (r+1,s+1), (r+1,s-1), \text{ and } (r+3,s-1)$.
Case 1: $(n,m) = (r+1,s+1)$
$(a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge - (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r-1,s+1})^\wedge + \lambda^{-r}(a^2_{r,s+2})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies a^1_{r+1,s+1} - a^2_{r,s} - 0 - \lambda^{s+1} a^1_{r-1,s+1} + \lambda^{-r} a^2_{r,s+2} = 0$ This holds as in S_0 .
Case 2 : $(n,m) = (r+1,s-1)$

$(a^1_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge - (a^2_{r,s-2})^\wedge - \lambda^{s-1}(a^1_{r-1,s-1})^\wedge + \lambda^{-r}(a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies 0 - 0 - 0 + 0 = 0$.

Case 3 : $(n,m) = (r+3, s-1)$

$(a^1_{r+3,s-1})^\wedge - (a^2_{r+2,s-2})^\wedge - \lambda^{s-1}(a^1_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge + \lambda^{-2-r}(a^2_{r+2,s})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies a^1_{r+3,s-1} - a^2_{r+2,s-2} - 0 + \lambda^{-2-r}(a^2_{r+2,s} + \lambda^{s+1}a^2_{r,s}) = 0$
$\implies a^1_{r+3,s-1} - a^2_{r+2,s-2} + \lambda^{-2-r}(a^2_{r+2,s} + \lambda^{s+1}a^2_{r,s}) = 0.$
An easy exercise on S_0 reveals that $a^2_{r,s} = \lambda^r a^1_{r+1,s-1}$, hence we get the required relation.

Case 4 : $(n,m) = (r+3, s+1)$

$(a^1_{r+3,s+1})^\wedge - (a^2_{r+2,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge + \lambda^{-2-r}(a^2_{r+2,s+2})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies a^1_{r+3,s+1} - a^2_{r+2,s} - \lambda^{s+1}a^2_{r,s} - \lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r+1,s+1} - a^2_{r,s}) + \lambda^{-2-r}a^2_{r+2,s+2} = 0$
$\implies a^1_{r+3,s+1} - a^2_{r+2,s} - \lambda^{s+1}a^1_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-2-r}a^2_{r+2,s+2} = 0.$
Above relation is indeed satisfied in S_0.

It is easy to see that like kernel diagram we can construct image diagrams. These diagrams are obtained by looking at how b_2-image of a non-zero lattice point look like as an element of $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \oplus \mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$. Given an element at $(0,0)$, its image are the four elements, two among a^1 and other two a^2. The smallest image diagram is the diagram of the kernel solution A_4, as described in previous pages.

Hence for each non-zero lattice element we have its image diagram similar to A_4, and hence for an element $a \in \mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$ we have its image diagram obtained by superimposing the image diagrams for each lattice points. For $H_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)$ to be zero it is a necessary condition that for each kernel element $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)$ there exists an image diagram similar to the construction of $Dgm(\varphi)$. Our induction process explicitly constructs the image candidate itself.

With this pictorial realization, it is clear from the diagram as well as from the explicit equations that if we verify that

$$b_2(u')_{p,q} = (a^1_{p,q}, a^2_{p,q}) \text{ for } (p,q) = (r+1, s+1), (r,s), (r+1, s-1) \text{ and } (r+2, s)$$

then we have proved that $b_2(u') = S_0$.

Observe that
$(b_2(u'))_{r+1,s+1} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r+1,s+1}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+1,s+1} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r+1,s+1} = ((a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge, (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge) + (a^2_{r,s}, 0) = (a^1_{r+1,s+1}, a^2_{r+1,s+1})$
,
$(b_2(u'))_{r,s} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r,s}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r,s} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r,s} = ((a^1_{r,s})^\wedge, (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge) + (0, a^2_{r,s}) = (a^1_{r,s}, a^2_{r,s})$,
$(b_2(u'))_{r+1,s-1} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r+1,s-1}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+1,s-1} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r+1,s-1} = ((a^1_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge, (a^2_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge) + (-\lambda^r a^2_{r,s}, 0) = (a^1_{r+1,s-1}, a^2_{r+1,s-1})$
and
$(b_2(u'))_{r+2,s} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r+2,s}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+2,s} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r+2,s} = ((a^1_{r+2,s})^\wedge, (a^1_{r+2,s})^\wedge) + (0, -\lambda^{s+1}a^2_{r,s}) = (a^1_{r+2,s}, a^2_{r+2,s}).$ $\square$

LEMMA 7.8. *$\wedge^N(S_0) = 0$ for any solution S_0 for a sufficiently large number N.*

Proof. The lemma states that $|S_1| \leq |S_0|$ is strict after sufficiently many iterations. Notice that the above process reduces the number of non-zero entries in the left most column by 2. And when this process is iterated this will lead to shifting of the left most non-zero column rightwards. Pictorially if it is assumed that at no stage the iteration reduces the number

of non-zero elements, then these many elements are compressed by the shifting of the left most non-zero column towards right by this process as the right edge of β is not changed by this process unless all the non-zero elements are collected on this right edge of β.

Hence, all the non-zero elements of $\wedge^d(S_0)$ will at some stage be bounded to the right edge of β. But, then, consider the lowest element of $\wedge^d(S_0)$ on this edge. It has to be only $a^2_{w,t}$ for some (w,t). Consider the following kernel equation. Every term in the equation

$$a^1_{w+1,t-1} - a^2_{w,t-2} - \lambda^{t-1}a^1_{w-1,t-1} + \lambda^{-w}a^2_{w,t} = 0$$

has all but $a^2_{w,t}$ equal zero, which is a contradiction.

Hence the induction process is complete. □

THEOREM 7.9. *For $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ we have the Hochschild homology groups as follows:*

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^5, HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong 0, HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. We already know that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}/im(1 \otimes b_1) \cong \mathbb{C}$. We also know that $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$. Hence we have $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^5$.

We also know from the previous section that $HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$. As we know that $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta) = 0$, hence, we derive the desired dimension for $HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

From the previous section we also know that $HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong 0$. Combining this result with $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = 0$, we get that $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. □

7.2. **Cyclic homology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.** A. Connes introduced[C] an S, B, I long exact sequence relating the Hochschild and cyclic homology of an algebra A,

$$... \xrightarrow{B} HH_n(A) \xrightarrow{I} HC_n(A) \xrightarrow{S} HC_{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{B} HH_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

Since the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on $\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}$ commutes with the map ${}_{-1}b$, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_n(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_n(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_{n-2}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{B} (HH_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

We know that $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = (HH_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$. Hence we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong (HC_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

But, a preliminary result shows that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}) = HC_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})$. Hence, we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = (HC_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Also, since $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = (HH_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$, we obtain that $(HC_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Since $HH_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})$ is trivial, we have $(HC_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$. □

7.3. **Periodic cyclic homology.**

THEOREM 7.10. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$.*

Proof. It is clear from the above calculations that we have

$$(HC_\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong (HC_{\bullet-2}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

Hence we conclude that $HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$

As for the odd cyclic homology, we have $(HC_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$, and we also have $HC_3(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = HC_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$. Combining these two results, we obtain $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. So we have computed the Hochschild and cyclic homology of the noncommutative $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold. □

8. $\mathbb{Z}_3$ action on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$

The group $\mathbb{Z}_3$ is embedded in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ through its generator $g = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The generator acts on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ in the following way

$$U_1 \mapsto U_2^{-1}, U_2 \mapsto \frac{U_1 U_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

8.1. **Hochschild homology.** ..

THEOREM 8.1. *$HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$, while $HH_k((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_3})$ are trivial groups for $k \geq 1$.*

LEMMA 8.2. *Consider the following chain complex $J_{*,\omega\pm 1}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$*

$$J_{*,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{\mathbb{Z}_3} := 0 \xleftarrow{{}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}b} (\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xleftarrow{{}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{alg})^{\otimes 2})^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xleftarrow{{}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{alg})^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3} ...$$

where,

$${}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}b(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) = b'(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) + (-1)^n((\omega^{\mp 1} \cdot a_n)a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_{n-1}).$$

Then,

$$H_\bullet(J_{*,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}, {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}b) = (H_\bullet(J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})), b)^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$$

Hence using the adjusted Connes' complex for the algebraic case we can now calculate the homology groups.

- $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}/Image(1 \otimes b_1)$,
- $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_1)/Image(1 \otimes b_2)$,
- $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

LEMMA 8.3. *$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\omega^{\pm 1}}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.*

Proof. We prove here for the case $g = \omega$, the proof for $g = \omega^2$ is similar. Consider the map $(1 \otimes b_2)$ in the tensor complex. To calculate the kernel of this map we have a closer look at the differential.

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (U_2 \otimes I - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (\lambda U_1 \otimes I - I \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2.$$

Using the twisted bimodule structure of ${}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, the equation can be simplified to the following,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = (aU_2 - \sqrt{\lambda}U_1U_2^{-1}a, U_2^{-1}a - \lambda aU_1).$$

Hence we obtain the following relation over an element $(a \otimes 1)$ to reside in $ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \Big\{ a \in {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | a_{n,m-1} = \lambda^{1.5-m} a_{n-1,m+1}; \lambda^{m+1} a_{n-1,m} = \lambda^n a_{n,m+1} \Big\}.$$

Since, no such nontrivial element exists in ${}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$. If such a non-trivial element existed, then all $a_{m,n}$ have to be same up to multiple of λ. But since the algebra consists of finitely supported elements, they are reduced to zero. So, we have the desired result.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = 0.$$

□

LEMMA 8.4. *$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$.*

Proof. We have the map $(1 \otimes b_1)$ in the tensor complex defined below,

$$(1 \otimes b_1)(a \otimes I \otimes e_j) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (I \otimes U_j - U_j \otimes I) = aU_j - U_j^{-1}a.$$

As before if we use the twisted bicomplex structure of ${}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, the map$(1 \otimes b_1)$ can be simplified as follows,

$$b_1(a_1, 0) = a_1U_1 - U_2^{-1}a_1 \text{ and } b_1(0, a_2) = a_2U_2 - \frac{U_1U_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}a_2.$$

Observe that $b_1(a_1, a_2) = b_1(a_1, 0) + b_1(0, a_2)$. Furthermore we can simplify the calculation by considering only elements of the type $b_1(U_1^nU_2^m, 0)$, and similarly for $b_1(0, a_2)$ we can consider the elements of the type $b_1(0, U_1^nU_2^m)$. We observe that

$$b_1(U_1^nU_2^m, 0) = ({U_1}^n{U_2}^m)U_1 - U_2^{-1}({U_1}^n{U_2}^m) = \lambda^{-n}(\lambda^m U_1U_2 - 1)U_1^nU_2^{m-1}$$

and

$$b_1(0, U_1^nU_2^{m-1}) = ({U_1}^n{U_2}^{m-1})U_2 - \tfrac{U_1U_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}({U_1}^n{U_2}^{m-1}) = (\lambda^{-2n}U_2^2 - U_1)U_1^nU_2^{m-2}.$$

From the above relations we see that in this quotient space $a_{\bar{1},0} = a_{\bar{0},-1} = a_{\bar{0},2}$. Observe that $\langle a_{\bar{0},0}, a_{\bar{1},0}, a_{\bar{0},1} \rangle$ is a basis of this quotient space.

Now to obtain $(H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ we consider complex map $h : J_* \to C_*$, since the map $h_0 : J_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to C_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ is the identity map, the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ action on the bar complex is translated to the Kozul complex with no alteration. Hence we get that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = \langle a^{-}_{\bar{0},0}, a^{-}_{\bar{1},0}, a^{-}_{\bar{0},1} \rangle.$$

□

LEMMA 8.5. $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.

Proof. From the previous calculations we do have an explicit formula for the kernel and the image equations of $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$.

$$\lambda^{m-1} a^1_{n-1,m-1} - \lambda^{-n} a^1_{n,m} = \lambda^{0.5-n} a^2_{n-1,m} - a^2_{n,m-2} \text{ (Kernel condition)},$$

$$a^1_{n,m-1} = \lambda^{1.5-n} a_{n-1,m} - a_{n.m-2};\ a^2_{n-1,m-1} = \lambda^m a_{n-2,m-1} - \lambda^{1-n} a_{n-1,m} \text{(Image of } a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}).$$

As before, *a,b,c, and d* are said to be *kernel-connected* if there exists a kernel equation containing them. So, any given kernel solution A we have a diagram associated to it, extended by plotting all the kernel equations that contain any of its non zero points, which for simplicity we denote by A.
e.g. equation $\lambda^0 a^1_{-1,0} - \lambda^{-0} a^1_{0,1} = \sqrt{\lambda} a^2_{-1,1} - a^2_{0,-1}$ is drawn below:



.

Now, the proof will proceed with induction over the *number* of non-zero elements in a given kernel solution.

After going through a simple yet tedious process, one figures out that there are no kernel solution with the number of non-zero entries less than or equal to 3. This can be seen as follows:

Consider three non-zero points $a^2_{n_1,m_1}, a^2_{n_2,m_2}, a^1_{n_3,m_3}$ constituting a kernel solution. It is easy to check that $m_2 \neq m_1$. Let $m_1 < m_2$, then consider the following equation at $(p,q) = (n_1+1, m_1)$,

$$\lambda^{q-1} a^1_{p-1,q-1} - \lambda^{-p} a^1_{p,q} = \lambda^{0.5-p} a^2_{p-1,q} - a^2_{p,q-2}.$$

then we see that $m_3 = m_1$. Considering the above equation at $(p,q) = (n_2, m_2)$ we get, $n_3 = n_2$ and $m_3 = m_2 - 1$. Now finally we consider the above kernel equation at $(p,q) = (n_1 - 1, m_1 + 2)$, on which we get that $a^2_{n_1,m_1} = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Assume that all kernel solutions having number of non-zero elements less than or equal to $(x-1)$ come from image. Then consider a kernel solution S_0 with x non-zero elements in it.

Since this solution is finitely supported over the lattice plane, there exists a closed square region β over which S_0 is supported.

Inside β consider the left most column at least one point of which is not zero. Choose the bottom point μ of this column. It is clear that $\mu = a^2_{r,s}$ for some $(r,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. As if it were $a^1_{r,s}$ then consider the following kernel equation.

$$\lambda^{s-1}a^1_{r-1,s-1} - \lambda^{-r}a^1_{r,s} = \lambda^{0.5-r}a^2_{r-1,s} - a^2_{r,s-2}.$$

All but $a^1_{r,s}$ are zero. This is a contradiction.

We shall now construct a new solution S_1 from S_0, with the number of non-zero elements in S_1 at most equal to x. Consider the following map $\wedge$

$$\wedge : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2, \text{ such that}$$

$$(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge = 0, (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0, (a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge = a^1_{r+1,s} + \sqrt{\lambda}a^2_{r,s},$$
$$(a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge = a^2_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{s+2}a^1_{r,s+2} \text{ and } (a^j_{p,q})^\wedge = a^j_{p,q} \text{ for all other lattice points.}$$

LEMMA 8.6. *Let $S_1 := \wedge(S_0)$. Then S_1 is a kernel solution such that $|S_1| \leq |S_0|$. If for some $u \in {}_\omega\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$, $b_2(u) = S_1$ then $b_2(u') = S_0$, where $u' = u + {}_{r,s}g$,*

$${}_{r,s}g_{p,q} = \begin{cases} -a^1_{r,s+2} & \text{if } (p,q) = (r,s+1) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The second part is clear that the cardinality of non-zero entries is reduced by 2 when it is asked that $(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge = (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0$ but then the cardinality may increase by two in case both $a^1_{r+1,s}$ and $a^2_{r+1,s}$ are zero in S_0.

To check that S_1 is a solution it is enough to check that all the kernel equations containing any of these four altered elements hold. In other words we want to check that the following equation

$$\lambda^m(a^1_{n-1,m})^\wedge - \lambda^{-n}(a^1_{n,m+1})^\wedge = \lambda^{0.5-n}(a^2_{n-1,m+1})^\wedge - (a^2_{n,m-1})^\wedge$$

holds for $(n,m) = (r,s+1), (r+1,s+2), (r+1,s-1)$ and $(r+2,s+1)$.
Case 1: $(n,m) = (r,s+1)$
$\lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r-1,s+1})^\wedge - \lambda^{-r}(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge = \lambda^{0.5-r}(a^2_{r-1,s+2})^\wedge - (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge$
$\implies \lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r-1,s+1})^\wedge = \lambda^{0.5-r}(a^2_{r-1,s+2})^\wedge$, which holds as : $a^1_{r-1,s+1} = a^2_{r-1,s+1} = 0$.
Case 2 : $(n,m) = (r+1,s+2)$
$\lambda^{s+2}(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge - \lambda^{-1-r}(a^1_{r+1,s+3})^\wedge - \lambda^{-0.5-r}(a^2_{r,s+3})^\wedge + (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge$
$= 0 - \lambda^{-1-r}a^1_{r+1,s+3} - \lambda^{-0.5-r}a^2_{r,s+3} + a^2_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{s+2}a^1_{r,s+2}$.
The above equation holds in S_0.
Case 3 : $(n,m) = (r+2,s)$
$\lambda^s(a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{-r-2}(a^1_{r+2,s+1})^\wedge - \lambda^{-1.5-r}(a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge + (a^2_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies \lambda^s a^1_{r+1,s} + \lambda^{s+0.5}a^2_{r,s} - \lambda^{-r-1}a^2_{r+2,s+1} - \lambda^{-0.5-r}a^2_{r+1,s+1} - \lambda^{s-r+0.5}a^1_{r,s+2} - a^2_{r+2,s-1} = 0$
$\implies \lambda^{s+1.5}a^2_{r,s} = \lambda^{s-r+1.5}a^1_{r,s+2}$
The above is true if one considers the kernel equation.

$$\lambda^{s+1}a^1_{r-1,s+1} - \lambda^{-r}a^1_{r,s+2} + a^2_{r,s} - \lambda^{0.5-r}a^2_{r-1,s+2} = 0$$

$a^2_{r-1,s+2} = a^2_{r-1,s+1} = 0$
$\implies a^2_{r,s} = \lambda^{-r} a^1_{r,s+2}$, which is what we required.
Case 4 : $(n,m) = (r+1, s-1)$
$\lambda^{s-1}(a^1_{r,s-1})^\wedge - \lambda^{-1-r}(a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{-0.5-r}(a^2_{r,s})^\wedge + (a^2_{r+1,s-2})^\wedge$
$= \lambda^{s-1}a^1_{r,s-1} - \lambda^{-1-r}a^1_{r+1,s} - \lambda^{-1-r}(\sqrt{\lambda}a^2_{r,s}) - 0 + a^2_{r+1,s-2}$
$= \lambda^{s-1}a^1_{r,s-1} - \lambda^{-1-r}a^1_{r+1,s} - \lambda^{-0.5-r}a^2_{r,s} + a^2_{r+1,s-2}$.
The above is a kernel equation in S_0, and we have shown that $S_1 := \wedge(S_0)$ is a kernel solution.

It is easy to see that like kernel equation diagram there is also an image solution diagram indicating how image elements are formed given an element at $(0,0)$,



So, it is clear from the diagram as well as from the explicit equations that we have for the map b_2, an image element $a_{0,0}$ induces kernel solution elements to its right-below and up for a^1, or right and below for a^2 elements. Hence if we verify that

$$b_2(u')_{p,q} = (a^1_{p,q}, a^2_{p,q}) \text{ for } (p,q) = (r, s+2), (r,s), (r+1, s+1), (r+1, s)$$

then we have proved that $b_2(u') = S_0$.

Observe that
$(b_2(u'))_{r,s+2} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r,s+2}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r,s+2} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r,s+2} = ((a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge, (a^2_{r,s+2})^\wedge) + (a^1_{r,s+2}, 0) = (a^1_{r,s+2}, a^2_{r,s+2})$,
$(b_2(u'))_{r,s} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r,s}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r,s} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r,s} = ((a^1_{r,s})^\wedge, (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge) + (0, \lambda^r a^1_{r,s+2}) = (a^1_{r,s}, a^2_{r,s})$,
$(b_2(u'))_{r+1,s+1} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r+1,s+1}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+1,s+1} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r+1,s+1} = ((a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge, (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge) + (0, -\lambda^{s+2}a^1_{r,s+2}) = (a^1_{r+1,s+1}, a^2_{r+1,s+1})$
and
$(b_2(u'))_{r+1,s} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r+1,s}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+1,s} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r+1,s} = ((a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge, (a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge) + (\lambda^{0.5-r}a^1_{r,s+2}, 0) = (a^1_{r+1,s}, a^2_{r+1,s})$.
□

LEMMA 8.7. *$\wedge^N(S_0) = 0$ for any solution S_0 for a sufficiently large number N.*

Proof. The lemma states that $|S_1| \leq |S_0|$ is strict after sufficiently many iterations. Notice that the above process reduces the number of non-zero entries in the left most column by 2. And when this process is iterated this will lead to shifting of the left most non-zero column rightwards. Pictorially if it is assumed that at no stage the iteration reduces the number of non-zero elements, then these many elements are compressed by the shifting of the left most non-zero column towards right. As the right edge of β is not changed by this process unless all the non-zero elements are collected on this right edge of β, all the non-zero elements of $\wedge^d(S_0)$ will at some stage be bounded to the right edge of β. But, then, consider the

lowest element of $\wedge^d(S_0)$ on this edge. It has to be only $a^2_{w,t}$ for some (w,t). Consider the following kernel equation,

$$\lambda^{1+t}a^1_{w-1,t+1} - \lambda^{-w}a^1_{w,t+2} = -a^2_{w,t} + \lambda^{0.5-w}a^2_{w-1,t+1} \ .$$

This equation has all but $a^2_{w,t}$ as zero, which is a contradiction.

Hence the induction process is complete. □

□

THEOREM 8.8. *For $\mathbb{Z}_3$ action on $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$ we have the Hochschild homology groups as follows:*

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{C}^7, HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong 0, HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. From the calculations in the previous sections regarding the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ invariance we know that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong \mathbb{C}$ and similarly one can check that $H_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta, {}_{\pm\omega}\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$. Hence we have the following result

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{C}^7.$$

As $HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong \mathbb{C}$. And we have $H_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta, {}_{\pm\omega}\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta) \cong 0$, we conclude that

$$HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = H_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta, {}_{\omega^{\pm1}}\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta) = 0$, we obtain that $HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) = 0$. □

8.2. **Cyclic homology of $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$.** .

THEOREM 8.9. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$, while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}) = 0$.*

Proof. We apply the S, B, I long exact sequence relating the Hochschild and cyclic homology of an algebra A,

$$... \xrightarrow{B} HH_n(A) \xrightarrow{I} HC_n(A) \xrightarrow{S} HC_{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{B} HH_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

Since the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ action on $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}$ commutes with the map ${}_{\omega^{\pm1}}b$, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_n(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_n(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_{n-2}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{B}$$
$$(HH_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

We know that $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}})) = (HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}})) = 0$. Hence we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong (HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3}.$$

But, a preliminary result shows that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}}) = HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm1}})$. Hence, we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = (HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Also, since $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = (HH_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0$, we obtain that

$$(HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3}.$$

Since $HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}})$ is trivial, we have

$$(HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0.$$

□

8.3. **Periodic cyclic homology.** .

THEOREM 8.10. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{C}^8$ while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{B} (HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

Since $HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong \mathbb{C}$. Also we have the following isomorphism of the homology groups $(HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong (HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$. Hence we conclude that

$$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{C}^8.$$

As for the odd cyclic homology, we have $(HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}))^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0$, and we also have $HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0$. Combining these two results, we obtain that

$$HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3) = 0.$$

So we have computed the Hochschild and cyclic homology of the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ orbifold. □

9. $\mathbb{Z}_4$ action on $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$

The group $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is embedded in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ through its generator $g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The generator acts of $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$ in the following way

$$U_1 \mapsto U_2^{-1}, U_2 \mapsto U_1.$$

9.1. **Hochschild homology.** .

THEOREM 9.1. *Let i be the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_4$, we have $HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_4}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, while $HH_k((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_4})$ are trivial groups for $k \geq 1$.*

LEMMA 9.2. *Consider the following chain complex $J_{*,\pm i}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$*

$$J_{*,\pm i}^{\mathbb{Z}_4} := 0 \xleftarrow{\pm_i b} (\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xleftarrow{\pm_i b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})^{\otimes 2})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xleftarrow{\pm_i b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} ...$$

where,

$$_{\pm i}b(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) = b'(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) + (-1)^n((\mp i \cdot a_n)a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_{n-1}).$$

Then,

$$H_\bullet(J_{*,\pm i}^{\mathbb{Z}_4}, {}_{\pm i}b) = (H_\bullet(J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\pm i}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})), b)^{\mathbb{Z}_4}.$$

Hence using the adjusted Connes' complex for the algebraic case we can now calculate the homology groups.

- $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\pm i}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_{\pm i}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}/Image(1 \otimes b_1)$,
- $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\pm i}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_1)/Image(1 \otimes b_2)$,
- $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\pm i}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

LEMMA 9.3. $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.

Proof. We prove here for case $g = i$, the proof for $g = -i$ is similar. Consider the map $(1 \otimes b_2)$ in the tensor complex. To calculate the kernel of this map we have a closer look at this map.

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (U_2 \otimes I - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (\lambda U_1 \otimes I - I \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2$$

Using the twisted bimodule structure of ${}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, we simplify the above equation to the following,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = (aU_2 - \lambda U_1 a, U_2^{-1}a - \lambda a U_1).$$

Hence we obtain the following relation over an element $(a \otimes 1)$ to reside in $ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_\omega\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \left\{ a \in {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | \lambda a_{n-1,m} = a_{n,m-1}; \lambda^{m-n} a_{n-1,m} = \lambda^2 a_{n,m+1} \right\}.$$

Since, no such nontrivial element exists in ${}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ because if it did then $|a_{n,m}| = |a_{n,m+2}|$. So, we have the desired result,

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = 0.$$

□

LEMMA 9.4. $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$.

Proof. We have the map $(1 \otimes b_1)$ in the tensor complex defined below,

$$(1 \otimes b_1)(a \otimes I \otimes e_j) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (I \otimes U_j - U_j \otimes I) = U_j^{-1}a - aU_j.$$

As before if we use the twisted bicomplex structure of ${}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, the map$(1 \otimes b_1)$ can be simplified as follows,

$$b_1(a_1, 0) = a_1U_1 - U_2^{-1}a_1 \text{ and } b_1(0, a_2) = a_2U_2 - U_1a_2.$$

Observe that $b_1(a_1, a_2) = b_1(a_1, 0) + b_1(0, a_2)$. Further we can simplify the calculation by considering only elements of the type $b_1(U_1^nU_2^m, 0)$, and similarly for $b_1(0, a_2)$ we can consider the elements of the type $b_1(0, U_1^nU_2^m)$. We observe that

$$b_1(U_1^nU_2^m, 0) = ({U_1}^n{U_2}^m)U_1 - U_2^{-1}({U_1}^n{U_2}^m) = \lambda^{-n}(\lambda^m U_1U_2 - 1)U_1^nU_2^{m-1}$$

and

$$b_1(0, U_1^nU_2^{m-1}) = ({U_1}^n{U_2}^{m-1})U_2 - U_1({U_1}^n{U_2}^{m-1}) = U_1^nU_2^m(U_2 - \lambda^{-n}U_1).$$

From the above relations it is clear that in the quotient space, we have only the coefficients $a_{0,0}$ and $a_{1,0}$ remaining. Hence we now have the following result.

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}/\langle \lambda^{-n}(\lambda^m U_1U_2 - 1)U_1^nU_2^{m-1}, U_1^nU_2^m(U_2 - \lambda^{-n}U_1)\rangle$$

Now to obtain $(H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ we consider complex map $h : J_* \to C_*$, since the map $h_0 : J_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to C_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ is the identity map, the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ action on the bar complex is translated to the Kozul complex with no alteration. Hence we get that

$$HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,i}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_4}) = \langle a_{\bar{0},0}, a_{\bar{1},0}\rangle.$$

□

LEMMA 9.5. $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0.$

Proof. From the previous calculations we do have an explicit formula for the kernel and the image equations of $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_i\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$.

$$\lambda^{-m}a^1_{n-1,m} - \lambda^n a^1_{n,m+1} = a^2_{n-1,m} - a^2_{n,m-1} \text{ (Kernel Equation)}$$

$$a^1_{n,m} = a_{n-1,m} - \lambda a_{n,m-1} \text{ and } a^2_{n,m} = \lambda^{-m}a_{n-1,m} - \lambda^{1+n}a_{n,m+1} \text{ (Image Solution)}$$

As before, *a,b,c, and d* are said to be connected if there exists a kernel equation containing them. So, any given kernel solution will have its elements connected by the kernel diagram. e.g. equation $\lambda^{-0}a^1_{-1,0} - \lambda^0 a^1_{0,1} = a^2_{-1,0} - a^2_{0,-1}$ is drawn below:



Now, the proof will proceed with induction over the *number* of non-zero elements in a given kernel solution.

After going through the process as in the previous cases, one figures out that there is no kernel solution with the number of non-zero entries less than or equal to 3. Assume that all kernel solutions having number of non-zero elements less than or equal to $(x-1)$ come from image. Then consider a kernel solution S_0 with x non-zero elements in it. Since this solution is finitely supported over the lattice plane, there exists a closed square region β over which S_0 is supported. We shall now construct a new solution S_1 from S_0, with the number of non-zero elements in S_1 at most equal to x.

Inside β, consider the left most column at least one point of which is non-zero. Choose the bottom point μ of this column. It is clear that $\mu = a^2_{r,s}$ for some $(r,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. As if it were $a^1_{r,s}$ then the following kernel solution,

$$\lambda^{1-s}a^1_{r-1,s-1} - \lambda^r a^1_{r,s} = a^2_{r-1,s-1} - a^2_{r,s-2}$$

As, in it all but $a^1_{r,s}$ are zero. This is a contradiction.

Now a new solution S_1 shall be constructed from S_0, with the number of elements at most equal to x. To do so, consider the following map $\wedge$

$$\wedge : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2, \text{ such that}$$

$(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge = (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0$.

And let $(a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge = a^1_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-1-r}a^2_{r,s}; (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge = a^2_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-2-s}a^2_{r,s}$
and $(a^j_{p,q})^\wedge = a^j_{p,q}$ for other lattice points.

LEMMA 9.6. *Let $S_1 := \wedge(S_0)$. Then S_1 is a kernel solution such that $|S_1| \leq |S_0|$. If for some $u \in {}_\omega\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$, $b_2(u) = S_1$ then $b_2(u') = S_0$, where $u' = u + {}_{r,s}g$,*

$${}_{r,s}g_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-1}a^1_{r,s+2} & \text{if } (p,q) = (r,s+1) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Second part is clear that the cardinality of non-zero entries is reduced by 2 when it is asked that $a'^1_{r,s+2} = a'^2_{r,s} = 0$ but then the cardinality will then increase by two in case both $a^1_{r+1,s}$ and $a^2_{r+1,s}$ are zero in S_0.
To check that S_1 is a solution, it is enough to check that the kernel equations containing any of these four altered elements hold in S_1. That is,

$$\lambda^{-m}a^1_{n-1,m} - \lambda^n a^1_{n,m+1} = a^2_{n-1,m} - a^2_{n,m-1} \text{ holds}$$

for $(n,m) = (r,s+1), (r+1,s+2), (r+1,s)$ and $(r+2,s+1)$.
Case 1: $(n,m) = (r,s+1)$
$\lambda^{-1-s}(a^1_{r-1,s+1})^\wedge - \lambda^r(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge = (a^2_{r-1,s+1})^\wedge - (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge$
$\implies \lambda^{-1-s}a^1_{r-1,s+1} - 0 = a^2_{r-1,s+1} - 0.$
This holds as : $a^1_{r-1,s+1} = a^2_{r-1,s+1} = 0$.
Case 2: $(n,m) = (r+1,s+2)$
$\lambda^{-2-s}(a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s+3})^\wedge = (a^2_{r,s+2})^\wedge - (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge$
$\implies 0 - \lambda^{r+1}a^1_{r+1,s+3} = a^2_{r,s+2} - a^2_{r+1,s+1} - \lambda^{-2-s}a^1_{r,s+2}$

$\implies \lambda^{-2-s}a^1_{r,s+2} - \lambda^{r+1}a^1_{r+1,s+3} = a^2_{r,s+2} - a^2_{r+1,s+1}$.
This holds as S_0 was a kernel solution.
Case 3: $(n,m) = (r+1,s)$
$\lambda^{-s}(a^1_{r,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge = (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge - (a^2_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge$
$\implies \lambda^{-s}a^1_{r,s} - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-1-r}a^2_{r,s}) = 0 - a^2_{r+1,s-1}$
$\implies \lambda^{-s}a^1_{r,s} - \lambda^{r+1}a^1_{r+1,s+1} = a^2_{r,s} - a^2_{r+1,s-1}$.
This holds as S_0 was a kernel solution.
Case 4: $(n,m) = (r+2,s+1)$
$\lambda^{-1-s}(a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+2}(a^1_{r+2,s+2})^\wedge = (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge - (a^2_{r+2,s})^\wedge$
$\lambda^{-1-s}(a^1_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-1-r}a^2_{r,s}) - \lambda^{r+2}a^1_{r+2,s+2} = a^2_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-2-s}a^1_{r,s+2} - a^2_{r+2,s}$
$\lambda^{-1-s}a^1_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-2-s-r}a^2_{r,s} - \lambda^{r+2}a^1_{r+2,s+2} = a^2_{r+1,s+1} + \lambda^{-2-s}a^1_{r,s+2} - a^2_{r+2,s}$
Using $a^1_{r,s+2} = \lambda^{-r}a^2_{r,s}$, we can reduce the above equation to the following equality.

$$\lambda^{-1-s}a^1_{r+1,s+1} - \lambda^{r+2}a^1_{r+2,s+2} = a^2_{r+1,s+1} - a^2_{r+2,s}$$

This holds as S_0 was a kernel solution.
It is easy to see that like kernel diagram we can construct image diagrams. These diagrams are obtained by looking at how b_2-image of a non-zero lattice point look like as an element of $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \oplus \mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$.



So, it is clear from the diagram as well as from the image solution equations an image element induces kernel solution elements to its right and up for a^1, or right and below for a^2 elements. Hence the plausible image elements for the elements of S_1 other than the four altered ones are not altered and hence (a_1, a_2) at these lattice point come from image elements by induction.

So, it is clear from the diagram as well as from the explicit equations that we have for the map b_2, an image element $a_{0,0}$ induces kernel solution elements to its right-below and up for a^1, or right and below for a^2 elements. Hence if we verify that

$$b_2(u')_{p,q} = (a^1_{p,q}, a^2_{p,q}) \text{ for } (p,q) = (r,s+2), (r,s), (r+1,s+1)$$

then we have proved that $b_2(u') = S_0$.

Observe that
$(b_2(u'))_{r,s+2} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r,s+2} = (b_2(u))_{r,s+2} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r,s+2} = ((a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge, (a^2_{r,s+2})^\wedge) + (a^1_{r,s+2}, 0) = (a^1_{r,s+2}, a^2_{r,s+2})$,
$(b_2(u'))_{r,s} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r,s} = (b_2(u))_{r,s} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r,s} = ((a^1_{r,s})^\wedge, (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge) + (0, \lambda^r a^1_{r,s+2}) = (a^1_{r,s}, a^2_{r,s})$, and
$(b_2(u'))_{r+1,s+1} = (b_2(u + {}_{rs}g))_{r+1,s+1} = (b_2(u))_{r+1,s+1} + (b_2({}_{rs}g))_{r+1,s+1}$

$= ((a^1_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge, (a^2_{r+1,s+1})^\wedge) + (-\lambda^{-1-r}a^2_{r,s}, -\lambda^{-s-2}a^1_{r,s}) = (a^1_{r+1,s+1}, a^2_{r+1,s+1})$.

□

LEMMA 9.7. *$\wedge^N(S_0) = 0$ for any solution S_0 for a sufficiently large number N.*

Proof. The lemma states that $|S_1| \leq |S_0|$ is strict after sufficiently many iterations. Notice that the above process reduces the number of non-zero entries in the left most column by 2. And when this process is iterated this will lead to shifting of the left most non-zero column rightwards. Pictorially if it is assumed that at no stage the iteration reduces the number of non-zero elements, then these many elements are compressed by the shifting of the left most non-zero column towards right by this process as the right edge of β is not changed by this process unless all the non-zero elements are collected on this right edge of β.

Hence, all the non-zero elements of $\wedge^d(S_0)$ will at some stage be bounded to the right edge of β. But, then, consider the lowest element of $\wedge^d(S_0)$ on this edge. It has to be only $a^2_{w,t}$ for some (w,t). Consider the following kernel equation,

$$\lambda^{-t}a^1_{w,t} - \lambda^{w+1}a^1_{w+1,t+1} = a^2_{w,t} - a^2_{w+1,t-1} \ .$$

The above equation has all but $a^2_{w,t}$ as zero, which is a contradiction. □

Hence, with the above lemmas we conclude that

$$HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,i}) = 0.$$

Above computations were for $g = i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Action of $-i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ differs from that of i by swapping U_1 and U_2. That is action of i on torus generated by $U_2U_1 = \lambda U_1U_2$ is same as $-i$ acts on torus generated by $U_1U_2 = \lambda U_2U_1$. Now, since, the results are independent of λ, so considering λ^{-1} would give the same result. Hence we have the following result,

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,\pm i})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^2, \ HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,\pm i}) = 0, \text{ and } HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,\pm i}) = 0.$$

□

THEOREM 9.8. *The Hochschild homology groups for $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ are as follows*

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}^8; \ HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong 0; \ HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. We know that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\pm i}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, following the process of checking the invariance of a cycle, we obtain that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\pm i})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Similarly we compute that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-1})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$. To see this we consider the action of i on the elements of $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-1})$, we observe that under this action

- $1 \mapsto 1$,
- $U_1 \mapsto U_2^{-1} \sim U_2$,
- $U_2 \mapsto U_1$,
- $U_1U_2 \mapsto U_2^{-1}U_1 \sim U_1U_2$..

Hence we see that

$$\varphi = a1 + bU_1 + cU_2 + dU_1U_2 \mapsto a1 + bU_2 + cU_1 + dU_1U_2.$$

The above element is invariant iff $b = c$ hence we have a 3 dimensional invariant sub-space of $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})$. Hence, we obtain that

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}^8.$$

Since we have $HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{\pm i}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, \mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$, we conclude that

$$HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong 0.$$

As, $HH_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}) = HH_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg}) = 0$, while $HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

□

9.2. **Cyclic homology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$.** .

THEOREM 9.9. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$.*

Proof. We apply the S, B, I long exact sequence relating the Hochschild and cyclic homology of an algebra A.

$$... \xrightarrow{B} HH_n(A) \xrightarrow{I} HC_n(A) \xrightarrow{S} HC_{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{B} HH_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

Since the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ action on $\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}$ commutes with the map ${}_{\pm i}b$, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_n(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_n(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_{n-2}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{B} (HH_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

We know that $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = (HH_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$. Hence we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong (HC_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4}.$$

But, a preliminary result shows that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}) = HC_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})$. Hence, we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = (HC_0(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Also, since $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = (HH_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$, we obtain that

$$(HC_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4}.$$

Since $HH_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}) = 0$, we have $(HC_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$. Hence, we have

$$HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0.$$

□

9.3. **Periodic cyclic homology.** .

THEOREM 9.10. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}^9$ while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) = 0$.*

Proof. We have.

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{B} (HH_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \xrightarrow{I} ...$$

Since $HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong HC_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}$, we have $(HC_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Also we notice here that the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ invariant sub-space of $HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-1}^{alg})$ is 3 dimensional. Hence we conclude that

$$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}^9.$$

As for the odd cyclic homology, we have $(HC_3(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,\pm i}^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$, and we also have $HC_3(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = HC_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_4} = 0$. Combining these two results, we obtain that

$$HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) = 0.$$

So we have computed the Hochschild and cyclic homology of the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ orbifold. □

10. $\mathbb{Z}_6$ action on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$

The group $\mathbb{Z}_6$ is embedded in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ through its generator $g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The generator acts of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ in the following way

$$U_1 \mapsto U_2, U_2 \mapsto \frac{U_1^{-1}U_2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

10.1. **Hochschild homology.** .
We will use $-\omega$ to stand for the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_6$.

THEOREM 10.1. *$HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-\omega}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_6}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, while $HH_k((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-\omega}^{alg\bullet})^{\mathbb{Z}_6})$ are trivial groups for $k \geq 1$.*

LEMMA 10.2. *Consider the following chain complex $J_{*,-\omega}^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$*

$$J_{*,-\omega}^{\mathbb{Z}_6} := 0 \xleftarrow{-\omega b} (\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-\omega}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xleftarrow{-\omega b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-\omega}^{alg})^{\otimes 2})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xleftarrow{-\omega b} ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-\omega}^{alg})^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} ...$$

where,

$${}_{-\omega}b(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) = b'(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_n) + (-1)^n((-\omega^2 \cdot a_n)a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes .... \otimes a_{n-1}).$$

Then,

$$H_\bullet(J_{*,-\omega}^{\mathbb{Z}_6}, {}_{-\omega}b) = (H_\bullet(J_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})), b)^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$$

Hence using the adjusted Connes' complex for the algebraic case we can now calculate the homology groups.

- $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} \mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}/Image(1 \otimes b_1)$,
- $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_1)/Image(1 \otimes b_2)$,
- $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = Ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

LEMMA 10.3. $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.

Proof. We prove here for case $g = -\omega$, the proof for $g = -\omega^2$ is similar. Consider the map $(1 \otimes b_2)$ in the tensor complex. To calculate the kernel of this map we have a closer look at this map,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (U_2 \otimes I - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (\lambda U_1 \otimes I - I \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2.$$

Using the twisted bimodule structure of ${}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, we simplify the equation to the following,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = (aU_2 - \frac{U_1^{-1}U_2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}a, U_2a - \lambda aU_1).$$

Hence we obtain the following relation over an element $(a \otimes 1)$ to reside in $ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = \left\{ a \in {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} | \lambda^{n+1.5} a_{n+1,m} = a_{n,m-1}; \lambda^{m-n} a_{n-1,m} = \lambda a_{n,m-1} \right\}.$$

Since, no such nontrivial element exists in ${}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ because if it did then $|a_{n,m}| = |a_{n+2,m}|$. So, we have the desired result.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = 0.$$

□

LEMMA 10.4. $H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We have the map $(1 \otimes b_1)$ in the tensor complex defined below,

$$(1 \otimes b_1)(a \otimes I \otimes e_j) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta^{alg}} (I \otimes U_j - U_j \otimes I) = U_j^{-1}a - aU_j.$$

As before if we use the twisted bicomplex structure of ${}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$ over the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}$, the map$(1 \otimes b_1)$ can be simplified as follows,

$$b_1(a_1, 0) = a_1U_1 - U_2a_1 \text{ and } b_1(0, a_2) = a_2U_2 - \lambda^{-0.5}U_1^{-1}U_2a_2.$$

Observe that $b_1(a_1, a_2) = b_1(a_1, 0) + b_1(0, a_2)$. Further we can simplify the calculation by considering only elements of the type $b_1(U_1^nU_2^m, 0)$, and similarly for $b_2(0, a_2)$ we can consider the elements of the type $b_2(0, U_1^nU_2^m)$. We observe that

$$b_1(U_1^nU_2^m, 0) = ({U_1}^n{U_2}^m)U_1 - U_2({U_1}^n{U_2}^m) = (\lambda^m U_1 - U_2)U_1^nU_2^m$$

and

$$b_2(0, U_1^nU_2^{m-1}) = ({U_1}^n{U_2}^{m-1})U_2 - \lambda^{-0.5}U_1^{-1}U_2({U_1}^n{U_2}^{m-1}) = (U_1 - \lambda^{n-0.5})U_1^{n-1}U_2^m.$$

From the above relations it is clear that in the quotient space, we have only the coefficients $a_{0,0}$ remains. Hence we now have the following result.

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) = {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}/\langle \lambda^{-n}(\lambda^m U_1 - \lambda^n U_2)U_1^n U_2^m, (U_1 - \lambda^{n-0.5})U_1^{n-1}U_2^m \rangle$$

Now to obtain $(H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$ we consider complex map $h : J_* \to C_*$, since the map $h_0 : J_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \to C_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$ is the identity map, the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ action on the bar complex is translated to the Kozul complex with no alteration. Hence the equivalence class of $a_{\bar{0},0}^{-}$ is invariant under $\mathbb{Z}_6$ action. So we have

$$HH_0((\mathcal{A}_{\theta,-\omega}^{alg})^{\mathbb{Z}_6}) = \langle a_{\bar{0},0}^{-} \rangle$$

□

LEMMA 10.5. $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}) \cong 0$.

Proof. From the previous calculations we do have an explicit formula for the kernel and the image equations of $H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg}, {}_{-\omega}\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg})$,

$$\lambda^m a^1_{n-1,m} - \lambda^n a^1_{n,m-1} - \lambda^{n+0.5} a^2_{n+1,m-1} + a^2_{n,m-1} = 0 \text{ (Kernel Equation)},$$

$$a^1_{n,m} = \lambda^{n+1.5} a_{n+1,m-1} - a_{n,m-1} \text{ and } a^2_{n,m} = \lambda^{1+m} a_{n-1,m} - \lambda^n a_{n,m-1} \text{ (Image Solution)}.$$

As before, *a,b,c, and d* are said to be connected if there exists a kernel equation containing them. So, any given kernel solution will have its elements connected by the kernel diagram. e.g. equation $\lambda^0 a^1_{-1,0} - \lambda^0 a^1_{0,-1} - \sqrt{\lambda} a^2_{1,-1} + a^2_{0,-1}$ is drawn below:



Now, the proof will proceed with induction over the *number* of non-zero elements in a given kernel solution.

After going through the process, explicitly described in previous cases, we conclude that there are no kernel solution with the number of non-zero entries less than or equal to 3. Assume that all kernel solutions having number of non-zero elements less than or equal to $(x-1)$ come from image. Then consider a kernel solution S_0 with x non-zero elements in it. Since this solution is finitely supported over the lattice plane, there exists a closed square region β over which S_0 is supported. We shall now construct a new solution S_1 from S_0, with the number of non-zero elements in S_1 at most equal to x.

Inside β, consider the left most column at least one point of which is non-zero. Choose the bottom point μ of this column. It is clear that $\mu = a^1_{r,s}$ for some $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. As if it were $a^2_{r,s}$ then the following kernel solution.

$$\lambda^{1+s} a^1_{r-2,s+1} - \lambda^{r-1} a^1_{r-1,s} - \lambda^{r-0.5} a^2_{r,s} + a^2_{r-1,s} = 0$$

As all terms in it but $a^2_{r,s}$ are zero, we derive a contradiction.

Now a new solution S_1 shall be constructed from S_0, with the number of elements at most equal to x. To do so, consider the following map $\wedge$

$$\wedge : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2, \text{ such that}$$

$(a^1_{r,s})^\wedge = 0$;
$(a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge = a^1_{r+1,s} + \lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}$;
$(a^2_{r+1,s})^\wedge = a^2_{r+1,s} + \lambda^{-0.5}a^1_{r,s}$;
$(a^2_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge = a^2_{r+2,s-1} - \lambda^{s-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}$ and $(a^j_{p,q})^\wedge = a^j_{p,q}$ for other lattice points.

LEMMA 10.6. *Let $S_1 := \wedge(S_0)$. Then S_1 is a kernel solution. If for some $u \in {}_\omega\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$, $b_2(u) = S_1$ then $b_2(u') = S_0$, where $u' = u + {}_{r,s}g$,*

$${}_{r,s}g_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s} & \text{if } (p,q) = (r+1, s-1), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. To check that S_1 is a solution, it is enough to check that the kernel equations containing any of these four altered elements hold in S_1. That is,

$$\lambda^m a^1_{n-1,m} - \lambda^n a^1_{n,m-1} - \lambda^{n+0.5}a^2_{n+1,m-1} + a^2_{n,m-1} = 0 \text{ holds}$$

for $(n,m) = (r, s+1), (r+1, s+1), (r+2, s)$ and $(r+1, s)$
Case 1: $(n,m) = (r, s+1)$
$\lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r-1,s+1})^\wedge - \lambda^r(a^1_{r,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+0.5}(a^2_{r+1,s})^\wedge + (a^2_{r,s})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies \lambda^{s+1}a^1_{r-1,s+1} - 0 - \lambda^{r+0.5}(a^2_{r+1,s} + \lambda^{-0.5}a^1_{r,s}) + a^2_{r,s} = 0$
The above equation holds in S_0.
Case 2: $(n,m) = (r+1, s+1)$
$\lambda^{s+1}(a^1_{r,s+1})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+1.5}(a^2_{r+2,s})^\wedge + (a^2_{r+1,s})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies \lambda^{s+1}a^1_{r-1,s+1} - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s} + \lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}) - \lambda^{r+1.5}(a^2_{r+2,s}) + a^2_{r+1,s} + \lambda^{-0.5}a^1_{r,s} = 0$
This holds in S_0.
Case 3: $(n,m) = (r+2, s)$
$\lambda^s(a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+2}(a^1_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+2.5}(a^2_{r+3,s-1})^\wedge + (a^2_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies \lambda^s(a^1_{r+1,s}+\lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}) - \lambda^{r+2}(a^1_{r+2,s-1}) - \lambda^{r+2.5}(a^2_{r+3,s-1}) + (a^2_{r+2,s-1} - \lambda^{s-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}) = 0$
Here also the above condition holds as S_0 is a kernel solution.
Case 4: $(n,m) = (r+1, s)$
$\lambda^s(a^1_{r,s})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge - \lambda^{r+1.5}(a^2_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge + (a^2_{r+1,s-1})^\wedge = 0$
$\implies 0 - \lambda^{r+1}(a^1_{r+1,s-1}) - \lambda^{r+1.5}(a^2_{r+2,s-1}) + (a^2_{r+2,s-1} + \lambda^s a^1_{r,s}) = 0$
This is a kernel condition in S_0. Hence we have checked all the possible cases and found the relations to be coherent with the kernel condition.

It is easy to see that like kernel diagram we can construct image diagrams. These diagrams are obtained by looking at how b_2-image of a non-zero lattice point look like as an element of $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \oplus \mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta$.



So, it is clear from the diagram as well as from the explicit equations that we have for the map b_2, an image element $a_{0,0}$ induces kernel solution elements to its left and up for a^1, and up and right-up for a^2 elements. Hence if we verify that

$$b_2(u')_{p,q} = (a^1_{p,q}, a^2_{p,q}) \text{ for } (p,q) = (r+1,s), (r,s), (r+2,s-1)$$

then we have proved that $b_2(u') = S_0$.

Observe that
$(b_2(u'))_{r,s} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r,s}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r,s} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r,s} = ((a^1_{r,s+2})^\wedge, (a^2_{r,s+2})^\wedge) + (\lambda^{r+1.5}\lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s+2}, 0) = (a^1_{r,s+2}, a^2_{r,s+2})$
,
$(b_2(u'))_{r+1,s} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r+1,s}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+1,s} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r+1,s}$
$= ((a^1_{r+1,s})^\wedge, (a^2_{r+1,s})^\wedge) + (-\lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}, \lambda^{1+r}\lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}) = (a^1_{r+1,s}, a^2_{r+1,s})$, and
$(b_2(u'))_{r+2,s-1} = (b_2(u +_{rs} g))_{r+2,s-1}$
$= (b_2(u))_{r+2,s-1} + (b_2(_{rs}g))_{r+2,s-1}$
$= ((a^1_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge, (a^2_{r+2,s-1})^\wedge) + (0, \lambda^s\lambda^{-r-1.5}a^1_{r,s}) = (a^1_{r+2,s-1}, a^2_{r+2,s-1})$.

□

LEMMA 10.7. *$\wedge^N(S_0) = 0$ for any solution S_0 for a sufficiently large number N.*

Proof. We know that from the above lemma that the left-most non-zero column of S_0 move rightwards as $\wedge$ transforms the lattice plane $\mathbb{Z}^2$. While $\wedge$ transforms the lattice plane. We see that the right most column in the region within which lies all the non-zero lattice points of S_0, C_η does not move rightwards unless $C_{\eta-2} = 0$. Consider the solution $S_r := \wedge^r(S_0)$ such that $C_{\eta-2} = C_{\eta+i} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Clearly such an r exists. Consider the non-zero element $a^1_{\eta-1,w} \in C_{\eta-1}$, such that $a^j_{\eta-1,t} = 0$ for $\forall t < w$ and $j = 1, 2$.

Now consider the following kernel equation

$$\lambda^w a^1_{\eta-2,w} - \lambda^{\eta-1}a^1_{\eta-1,w-1} - \lambda^{\eta-0.5}a^2_{\eta,w-1} + a^2_{\eta-1,w-1} = 0$$

We see that $a^2_{\eta,w-1} = 0$.
Also similar computation involving elements $a^1_{\eta+1,w-3}, a^2_{\eta+1,w-3}, a^2_{\eta+1,w-3}$, and $a^1_{\eta,w-1}$ implies that $a^1_{\eta,w-1} = 0$. Now we consider the equation,

$$\lambda^w a^1_{\eta-1,w} - \lambda^{\eta}a^1_{\eta,w-1} - \lambda^{\eta+0.5}a^2_{\eta+1,w-1} + a^2_{\eta,w-1} = 0$$

We see now that $a^1_{\eta-1,w} = 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have $C_{\eta-1} = 0$.

Now with a brief glance on the equation,

$$\lambda^v a^1_{\eta,v} - \lambda^{\eta+1}a^1_{\eta+1,v-1} - \lambda^{\eta+1.5}a^2_{\eta+2,v-1} + a^2_{\eta+1,v-1} = 0.$$

With this we conclude that $C_\eta = 0$. Hence we arrive at the conclusion that $\wedge^r(S_0) = 0$. Hence, with the above lemmas we conclude that

$$HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}) = 0.$$

□

Above computations were for $g = -\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_6$. Action of $-\omega^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_6$ is similar and we leave it to the reader to check that,

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega^{\pm 1}})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong \mathbb{C},\ HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega^{\pm 1}}) = 0, \text{ and } HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega^{\pm 1}}) = 0.$$

□

THEOREM 10.8. *The Hochschild homology groups for $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6$ are as follows*

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong \mathbb{C}^9;\ HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong 0;\ HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. We know that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}}) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$, $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,-\omega^{\pm 1}}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,-1}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$, as we have notice earlier, the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ action on the zeroth homology is same in both the complexes. Hence we follow the procedure detailed in previous sub-sections to conclude that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg\bullet}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong C^3$. To see this we consider the action of $-\omega$ on the elements of $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega^{\pm 1}})$, we observe that under this action

- $1 \mapsto 1$,
- $U_1 \mapsto U_2$,
- $U_2 \mapsto \dfrac{U_1^{-1}U_2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim U_2^{-1} \sim U_1$,

Hence we see that

$$\varphi = a1 + bU_1 + cU_2 \mapsto a1 + bU_2 + cU_1.$$

The above element is invariant iff $b = c$ hence we have a 2 dimensional invariant sub-space of $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\omega})$.
Now we consider the elements of $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-1})$ invariant under the action of $g = -\omega$, we observe that under this action

- $1 \mapsto 1$,
- $U_1 \mapsto U_2$,
- $U_2 \mapsto \dfrac{U_2U_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim \sqrt{\lambda}U_1U_2$,
- $U_1U_2 \mapsto \dfrac{U_2U_1^{-1}U_2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim \dfrac{U_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim \dfrac{U_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

Hence we see that

$$\varphi = a1 + bU_1 + cU_2 + dU_1U_2 \mapsto a1 + bU_2 + c\sqrt{\lambda}U_1U_2 + d\frac{U_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

The above element is invariant iff $b = c = \frac{d}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ hence we have a 2 dimensional invariant sub-space of $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-1})$. Summing up all the calculated sub-spaces above we conclude that

$$HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{C}^9.$$

Previous calculations imply that $HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg^\bullet}_{\theta,g})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = 0$ for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_6$, hence we have

$$HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong 0.$$

We notice that for $1 \neq g \in \mathbb{Z}_6$, $HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg^\bullet}_{\theta,g}) = 0$, while $HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg^\bullet}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong \mathbb{C}$. Hence we have

$$HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

□

10.2. **Cyclic homology of $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6$.** .

THEOREM 10.9. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}) = 0$.*

Proof. We apply the S, B, I long exact sequence relating the Hochschild and cyclic homology of an algebra A.

$$... \xrightarrow{B} HH_n(A) \xrightarrow{I} HC_n(A) \xrightarrow{S} HC_{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{B} HH_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{I} ...$$
.

Since the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ action on $\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}$ commutes with the map $_{-\omega}b$, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_n(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_n(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_{n-2}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{B} (HH_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{I} ....$$

We know that $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = (HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = 0$. Hence we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong (HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6}.$$

But, a preliminary result shows that $HH_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}) = HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega})$. Hence, we obtain that

$$(HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = (HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Also, since $(HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = (HH_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = 0$, we obtain that

$$(HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6}.$$

Since, $HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}) = 0$, we have $(HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = 0$. Hence we conclude that

$$HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}) = 0.$$

□

10.3. **Periodic cyclic homology.** .

THEOREM 10.10. *$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong \mathbb{C}^{10}$ while $HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) = 0$.*

Proof. We have the S, B, I sequence relating the Hochschild and the cyclic homology.

$$... \xrightarrow{B} (HH_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{I} (HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{S} (HC_0(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{B} (HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \xrightarrow{I} ...$$

Hence, $(HC_2(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Also we notice here that in this case, the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ invariant sub-space of $HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,-1})$ is 2 dimensional and the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ invariant sub-space of $HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\pm\omega})$ is 2 dimensional. Hence we conclude that

$$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) \cong \mathbb{C}^{10}.$$

As for the odd cyclic homology, we have $(HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\pm\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} \cong (HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta,\pm\omega}))^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = 0$, and we also have $HC_3(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = HC_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = HH_1(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta})^{\mathbb{Z}_6} = 0$. Combining these two results, we obtain that

$$HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}^{alg}_{\theta} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_6) = 0.$$

So we have computed the Hochschild and cyclic homology of the $\mathbb{Z}_6$ orbifold. □

11. Hochschild homology of smooth $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold, $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

In this section we give partial results regarding the Hochschild homology of the smooth non-commutative $\mathbb{Z}_2$ toroidal orbifold, $\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. We also present a lemma which characterises a class of elements of the group whose dimension is an open problem as I write this article.

I also have strong conviction that the method we used to calculate the (co)homology dimensions for the algebraic non-commutative orbifold will be useful and instrumental in computing the $HH_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ whose dimension remains uncalculated.

THEOREM 11.1. *: For $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we have $HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. We consider the map $(1 \otimes b_2)$ in the tensored complex.

$$0 \leftarrow {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta \xleftarrow{b_1} {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta \oplus {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta \xleftarrow{b_2} {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta$$

To calculate the kernel of this map we have a closer look at this map,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta} (U_2 \otimes I - \lambda \otimes U_2) \otimes e_1 - a \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}_\theta} (\lambda U_1 \otimes I - I \otimes U_1) \otimes e_2.$$

Using the twisted bimodule structure of ${}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta$ over $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, we can simplify the equation to the following,

$$(1 \otimes b_2)(a \otimes I) = (\lambda U_2^{-1} a - a U_2, \lambda a U_1 - U_1^{-1} a).$$

Hence we obtain the following relation for an element $(a \otimes 1)$ to reside in $ker(1 \otimes b_2)$.

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta) = \left\{ a \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta | a_{n,m} = \lambda^{m-1} a_{n-2,m}; a_{n-1,m} = \lambda^n a_{n-1,m-2} \right\}.$$

If an element $\varphi \in {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta$ were to satisfy these relations. Then for a fixed $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sequence $(\varphi_{n_0,2m})_m \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$. This is a contradiction. Hence there are no such nontrivial elements in $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. Hence we get that:

$$H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta) = 0.$$

Now we consider the homology for $g = 1$ part in the paracyclic decomposition. We notice through computations similar to algebraic non-commutative orbifold, that, for $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Here the generator of the homology group $H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)$ is the element $a_{-1,-1} U_1^{-1} U_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, which we see is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in a similar way as we have demonstrated in earlier calculations pertaining to the algebraic noncommutative orbifold. Hence using the paracyclic decomposition, we have

$$HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

Using the above formula we conclude that

$$HH_2(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

□

THEOREM 11.2. *For $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, satisfying the Diophantine condition and $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ a finite group. We have,*

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Proof. As we know from [C] that for $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the Diophantine condition,

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

This group is generated by $\varphi_{0,0}$. To compute the invariance we need to deploy the method we used in sections before. We need to push the cycle to the bar complex, $C_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$ and then consider the natural action that exists on the bar complex.
Using the map $h_0 : J_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta) \to C_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$, and the map $k_0 : C_(\mathcal{A}_\theta) \to J_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$, we notice that $h_0 = k_0 = id$. Hence we observe that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on the bar complex is induced on to the Kozul complex without any alteration. Hence in the Kozul complex $-1 \cdot \bar{a_{0,0}} = \bar{a_{0,0}}$. Hence we conclude that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

For $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4,$ and $\mathbb{Z}_6$; we have the same calculation process and hence we conclude that

$$H_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}$$

□

THEOREM 11.3. *For $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, satisfying the Diophantine condition and $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ a finite group. We have*

$$H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^\Gamma = 0.$$

Proof. Connes proved [C] that for $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the Diophantine condition,

$$H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta) \cong \mathbb{C}^2.$$

This group is generated by $\varphi^1_{-1,0}$ and $\varphi^2_{0,-1}$. To compute the invariant sub-space we need to deploy the method we used in previous sections. We need to push the cycle to the bar complex, $C_*(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$ using the map $h_1 : J_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta) \to C_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$,

$$h_1(I \otimes e_i) = I \otimes U_j$$

and then consider the natural action that exists on the bar complex. Thereafter compare the pull back of the twisted element with the original cycle.

We can represent a general cycle $\varphi \in H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta, {}_{-1}\mathcal{A}_\theta)$ in terms of generators $\bar{\varphi^1_{-1,0}}$ and $\bar{\varphi^2_{0,-1}}$ as follows,

$$\varphi = aU_1^{-1} \otimes e_1 + bU_2^{-1} \otimes e_2$$

The twisted pull back of φ is the cycle

$$(1 \otimes k_1)(-1 \cdot (1 \otimes h_1)(\varphi)) = (1 \otimes k_1)(-1 \cdot (aU_1^{-1} \otimes U_1 + bU_2 \otimes U_2^{-1})) =$$
$$(1 \otimes k_1)(aU_1 \otimes U_1^{-1} + bU_2 \otimes U_2^{-1}) = aU_1A \otimes e_1 + aU_1B \otimes e_2 + bU_2A' \otimes e_1 + bU_2B' \otimes e_2 =$$
$$(aU_1A + bU_2A') \otimes e_1 + (aU_1B + bU_2B') \otimes e_2.$$

With further simplification using the fact that $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is a $\mathfrak{B}_\theta$ module, we have $U_1A = -U_1^{-1}$ and $U_2B' = -U_2^{-1}$. We also notice that $A' = B = 0$, hence we have

$$(1 \otimes k_1)(-1 \cdot (1 \otimes h_1)(aU_1^{-1} \otimes e_1 + bU_2^{-1} \otimes e_2)) = -(aU_1^{-1} \otimes e_1 + bU_2^{-1} \otimes e_2).$$

Whence,

$$H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0.$$

We can repeat the above proof for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4,$ and $\mathbb{Z}_6$, to deduce that

$$H_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathcal{A}_\theta)^\Gamma = 0.$$

□

CONJECTURE 11.4. *For $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we conjecture that*

$$HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^6, HC_{odd}(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0.$$

This conjecture when true would mean that the dimension of the $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $HC_{even}(\mathcal{A}_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are same, which is very interesting. Using this we can try for a possible postulation of the Poincare duality.

References

[AFLS] J. Alev, M. A. Farinati, T. Lambre and A. L. Solotar: Homology of invariants of a Weyl algebra under a finite group action. (Homologie des invariants d'une algbre de Weyl sous l'action d'un groupe fini, *J. Algebra 232, (2000), pp. 564-577.*

[AL] J. Alev and T. Lambre: Homologie des invariants d'une algebre de Weyl, *K-Theory,18, (1999), pp. 401-411.*

[AS] M. Atiyah and I. Singer: The Index of Elliptic Operators, *Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 87, No. 3, (1968) pp. 484-530.*

[B] J. Baudry: Invariants du tore quantique. (French. French summary) [Invariants of the quantum torus], *Bull. Sci. Math., 134(2010), pp. 531-547.*

[BRT] Y. Berest, A. Ramadoss, X.Tang: The Picard group of a noncommutative algebraic quantum torus, *J. of Noncommutative Geometry, to appear.*

[C] A. Connes: Noncommutative differential geometry, *Publ. Math. IHES 62, 257-360 (1985).*

[ELPH] S.Echterhoff, W.Luck, N.Phillips, S.Waters: The structure of crossed products of irrational rotation algebras by finite subgroups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$,*Journal fr die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume 639, 173-221 (2010).*

[GJ] E. Getzler and D. John: The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras, *Journal fr die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume 445, 161-174 (1993).*

[LJ] J. Loday: Cyclic homology(ISBN 3540630740) *Springer, Second Edition.*

[LM] M. Lorenz, On the homology of graded algebras, *Com. Alg.,20-2, 1992, pp. 489-507.*

[O] A. Oblomkov: Double affine Hecke algebras of rank 1 and affine cubic surfaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not., (2004), pp. 877-912.*

[OP] P.Etingof and A.Oblomkov: Quantization, orbifold cohomology, and Cherednik algebras. (English summary) Jack, Hall-Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials, *Contemp. Math., 417, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2006), pp. 171-182.*

[OS] P. Olczykowsky and A. Sitarz: K-theory of noncommutative Bieberbach manifolds, *(arXiv:1205.0743) May 2012.*

[PV] M.Penkava and P.Vanhaecke: Hochschild cohomology of polynomial algebras, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 3, Number 3, 365-393 (2001).*

[R1] M. Rieffel: C*-algebras associated with irrational rotations, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol 93, No. 2 (1981), 415-429.*

[R2] M. Rieffel: Nonstable K-theory and noncommutative tori, *Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 2 (1987), 267-279.*

[R3] M. Rieffel: Non-Commutative Tori - A Case Study of Non-Commutative Differentiable Manifolds, *Contemporary Mathematics 105 (1990) 191-211.*

[TH] G. Halbout and X. Tang: Noncommutative Poisson structures on orbifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362, Number 5, 2249-2277 (2010).*

[TPPN] N. Neumaier, M.Pflaum, H. Posthuma, X. Tang: Homology of formal deformations of proper etale Lie groupoids. (English summary) *J. Reine Angew. Math. 593, 117-168 (2006).*

[TPPT] M. Pflaum, H. Posthuma, X. Tang, H. Tseng: Orbifold cup products and ring structures on Hochschild cohomology groups, *Commun. Contemp. Math. 13, 123-182 (2011).*

[VP] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu: Imbedding the irrational rotation C*-algebra into an AF-algebra, *Journal of Operator Theory, Volume 4, 201-210 (1980).*

.

Department of Mathematics, Islamic University, Al-Madinah, KSA

E-mail address: safdar.quddus@go.wustl.edu